Giant Planets Transiting Giant Stars with TESS



Samuel K. Grunblatt^{1,2,3}, Daniel Huber¹, Eric Gaidos¹, Ashley Chontos¹

- 1. Institute for Astronomy, University of Hawai'i, Honolulu, Hawaii
- 2. Department of Astrophysics, American Museum of Natural History, New York, NY
- 3. Center for Computational Astrophysics, Flatiron Institute, New York, NY

www.ifa.hawaii.edu/users/skg

What did we know about giant planets transiting giant stars before TESS?

2) Transiting giant planets seem to prefer 1) Stellar evolution from the main sequence to the giant phase can re-inflate warm Jupiters. moderately eccentric orbits around evolved stars.



3) Warm/hot Jupiters are roughly equally common around main sequence and low luminosity red giant branch (LLRGB, 3-8R_{Sun}) stars.

TABLE 3 Comparison of Planet Occurrence Around Main Sequence and Evolved Stars						
Planet Radius	Planet Sample	Stellar Sample	3.5-10 days	Planet Period 10-29 days	29-50 days	
$1-2 R_J$	Main Sequence Main Sequence LLRGB LLRGB (<6 R _☉)	Main Sequence LLRGB LLRGB LLRGB (<6 R _☉)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.15 \pm 0.06 \\ 0.28 \pm 0.16 \\ 0.49 \pm 0.28 \\ 0.72 \pm 0.41 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 0.12 \pm 0.05 \\ 0.27 \pm 0.16 \\ < 0.33 \substack{+0.07 \\ -0.12} \\ < 0.46 \substack{+0.10 \\ -0.26} \end{array}$	0.38 ± 0.09 < $6.8^{+1.7*}_{-4.6}$ < $10.2^{+1.8*}_{-8.2}$	
$0.5-1 \mathrm{R_J}$	Main Sequence Main Sequence LLRGB LLRGB (<6 R _☉)	Main Sequence LLRGB LLRGB LLRGB (<6 R _☉)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.32 \pm 0.13 \\ 0.27 \pm 0.12 \\ < 0.23 \substack{+0.02 \\ -0.01} \\ < 0.28 \pm 0.11 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 0.70 \pm 0.20 \\ 0.35 \pm 0.04^* \\ < 0.73 \substack{+0.09 \\ -0.16} \\ < 0.86 \substack{+0.30 \\ -0.46} \end{array}$	$0.48 \pm 0.11 \\ < 22.2^{+15.4*}_{-17.2} \\ < 24.0^{+5.1*}_{-20.3}$	

AMERICAN MUSEUN

៉ NATURAL HISTORY

	Main Sequence	LLRGB	$23.4 \pm 16.6^{*}$	* *	* *
$0.2-0.5 \ R_{J}$	LLRGB	LLRGB	$<\!\!1.9{\pm}0.4^*$	$<\!\!62.8^*$	*
	LLRGB (<6 R_{\odot})	LLRGB (<6 R_{\odot})	$< 2.2^{+0.5*}_{-1.1}$	$<\!\!72.9^*$	*

ence values quoted are percentages. Main sequence planets orbiting main sequence star results are taken from Howard et al. (2012)

Injection/recovery tests indicate a completeness below 50% for these regimes. No value is reported in those regimes where no injected signal was recovered

Grunblatt+ (in review.)



$R_{s} = 2.94 + -0.06 R_{sun}, M_{s} = 1.21 + -0.07 M_{sun}$

Though technically not a giant star, TOI-197 is similar to the typical giant star systems expected from TESS. The precise constraint on stellar and planet density allows a direct test of planet inflation and evolution scenarios.

giant planets!

 $P_{orb}=22.339d, R_p = 1.877 + -0.24 R_J$ $R_{s} = 8.04 + - 1.08 R_{Sun}$, $M_{s} = 1.48 + - 0.32 M_{Sun}$

What will TESS teach us about giant planets transiting giant stars in the future?

TESS is predicted to find hundreds of more planet candidates in the Full Frame Image data, exploration of which has only just begun!

TESS Full Frame Image Predicted Yield for Stars >3 R_{Sun}:



20-				1
210				- 60
	0.49%	<0.33%	<6.8%	
	+0.28/-0.28%	+0.07/-0.12%	+1.7/-4.6%	- 50
	(0.15±0.06%)	(0.12±0.05%)	(0.38±0.09%)	
1.0 -				- 40
S (F	<0.23%	<0.73%	/<22.2%//	
diu	+0.02/-0.01%	+0.09/-0.16%/	+15.4/-17.2%	- 30
Rac	(0.32±0.13%)	(0.7±0.2%)	(0.48±0.11%)	
0.5 -				20

Future efforts

- Full sky coverage of **TESS**—more opportunities for shortperiod, small planet detections - CVZs: more opportunities for longer-

detections.

enhancing

of this plot

(%)

1. Compare detection of giant star TOIs in 2-minute cadence data to FFI, 30-minute cadence data detection. 2. Perform systematic search of bright giants in FFI data with TASOC lightcurves 3. Search all giant stars in FFIs where oscillations can be



TESS will reveal the dependence of planet inflation, migration, and inspiral as a function of stellar mass and evolutionary stage.

LLRGB occurrence rate: center of box MS occurrence rate: bottom of box (in parentheses) dashed gray lines: injection/recovery completeness <50% (unreliable)

